

Symposium: Measuring Surgical Behavior

Gabrielle J.M. Tuijthof

Dept. of BioMechanical Engineering,
Delft University of Technology,
Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands
g.j.m.tuijthof@tudelft.nl

Medical technology is evolving quickly. This is also true in the operating theatre, where the introduction of minimally invasive surgery has brought many benefits for the patient: less morbidity, and quicker recovery. Despite these advances in technology, patient safety cannot be guaranteed for 100%. Several factors can be summed that contribute to this:

- New surgical devices are not always sufficiently evaluated. In the operative setting, it should be demonstrated that the usefulness and usability of a new device is superior to conventional techniques.
- The quality and skills of surgeons have been considered to be at a constant competent level. In reality, this is not the case as every surgeon is different and his/her competency can fluctuate over time.
- The traditional training methods of surgical skills have not been adjusted according to the advances in technology. The result is long learning curves and increased risk of surgical errors.
- Emergency and critical situations occur in the operating theatre, which make it a highly dynamic environment. Lack of protocol and proper communication can increase the risk of surgical errors.
- All patients are unique. This implies that basically each operation requires a new learning experience.

As the demands from government and society increase for high quality and affordable medical care, initiatives are taken to guarantee predefined surgical quality and reduce the risk of surgical errors. To achieve this, measurements are performed in the operating theatre to document surgical behavior. Physically this is not an easy task, as patient care has number one priority, patient privacy and the sterile operating zone should be respected, and the operating theatre cannot be transformed into an experimental set up. Besides these practical issues, interpretation of recorded

data is another huge challenge. How do you define surgical performance or surgical error, and how do you interpret video images or spoken language? A growing number of research initiatives attempts to address these challenges.

- First, analysis of the current operative setting is performed to document surgical actions, communication and instrument usage for identification of key problems and constructing base line reference. Video and sound recordings with multiple cameras are usually performed




Figure 1. Example video recording equipment in the OR and a software interface that enables simultaneous recording of two video images and data signals.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. For any other use, please contact the Measuring Behavior secretariat: info@measuringbehavior.org.

for this purpose, with off-line time-action analysis.

- Second, assessment of surgical performance receives huge attention. A wide range of methods is applied to determine this: checklists, global rating scales, motion analysis, force measurements, video-assessment and (virtual reality) simulators. The variety of methods and application purposes are not fully explored.
- Third, development of a digital operating room assistant has been started, which should automatically register deviations from a routine operation. It warns the operating team to prevent errors and increase patient safety. Automated image detection and Hidden Markov models are a few examples that are applied to achieve this.

This symposium aims to give an overview of different methods as developed by researchers in the surgical field. They will all discuss their own efforts to meet the challenge of measuring surgical behaviour. Finally, we can point out a direction towards a future ultimate registration tool.

SYMPOSIUM CONTENTS

Teaching Arthroscopy: Analysis of Verbal Communication in The Operating Room

Gabrielle Tuijthof (Delft University of Technology / Academic Medical Centre, The Netherlands), Alexander Vos, Inger Sierevelt, Mattias Schafroth & Gino Kerkhoffs (Academic Medical Centre, The Netherlands).

Methods for Automatic Statistical Modeling of Surgical Workflow

Tobias Blum, Nassir Navab & Hubertus Feußner (Technische Universität München, Germany).

In-Vivo Measuring Surgical Workflow Activities in the OR

Loubna Bouarfa (Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands), Laurents P. S. Stassen (Academic Hospital Maastricht, The Netherlands), Pieter. P. Jonker & Jenny Dankelman (Delft University of Technology).

Learning Curve Assessment and Identification of Surgical Pitfalls of a New Hip Prosthesis Using Time-Action Analysis

Jakob van Oldenrijk & Elisa Rijk (Academic Medical Center Amsterdam, The Netherlands), Wouter Runne (Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and Cees van Egmond (Isala Klinieken Zwolle, The Netherlands).